arXiv:1702.07104v2 [math.GT] 1 Mar 2017

THE ADJOINT GROUP OF A COXETER QUANDLE

TOSHIYUKI AKITA

ABSTRACT. We give explicit descriptions of the adjoint group of the Coxeter quandle associated with a Coxeter group W. The adjoint group turns out to be an intermediate group between W and the corresponding Artin group, and fits into a central extension of W by a finitely generated free abelian group. We construct 2-cocycles of W corresponding to the central extension. In addition, we prove that the commutator subgroup of the adjoint group is isomorphic to the commutator subgroup of W.

1. INTRODUCTION

A nonempty set X equipped with a binary operation $X \times X \to X$, $(x,y) \mapsto x * y$ is called a *quandle* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) $x * x = x$ $(x \in X)$,
(2) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ $(x,y,z \in X)$,
(3) For all $y \in X$, the map $X \to X$ defined by $x \mapsto x * y$ is bijective.

Quandles have been studied in low dimensional topology as well as in Hopf algebras. To any quandle X one can associate a group $\mathrm{Ad}(X)$ called the *adjoint group* of X (also called the associated group or the enveloping group in the literature). It is defined by the presentation

$$\mathrm{Ad}(X) := \langle e_x \ (x \in X) \mid e_y^{-1} e_x e_y = e_{x*y} \ (x,y \in X) \rangle$$

Although adjoint groups play important roles in the study of quandles, not much is known about the structure of them, partly because the definition of $\mathrm{Ad}(X)$ by a possibly infinite presentation is difficult to work with in explicit calculations. See Eisermann [9] and Nosaka [14] for generality of adjoint groups, and [6, 9, 10, 14] for descriptions of adjoint groups of certain classes of quandles.

In this paper, we will study the adjoint group of a Coxeter quandle. Let (W,S) be a Coxeter system, a pair of a Coxeter group W and the set S of Coxeter generators of W. Following Nosaka [14], we define the *Coxeter quandle* X_W associated with (W,S) to be the set of all reflections of W:

$$X_W := \bigcup_{w \in W} w^{-1} S w.$$

The quandle operation is given by the conjugation $x * y := y^{-1} x y = yxy$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ of n letters is a Coxeter group (of type A_{n-1}), and the associated Coxeter quandle $X_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is nothing but the set of all transpositions. In their paper

Key words and phrases. quandle, Coxeter group, Artin group, pure Artin group.

[2], Andruskiewitsch-Fantino-García-Vendramin showed that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_{\mathfrak{S}_n})$ is an intermediate group between $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the braid group B_n of n strands, in the sense that the canonical projection $B_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$ splits into a sequence of surjections $B_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ad}(X_{\mathfrak{S}_n}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$, and that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_{\mathfrak{S}_n})$ fits into a central extension of the form

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_{\mathfrak{S}_n}) \to \mathfrak{S}_n \to 1.$$

See [2, Proposition 3.2] and its proof. The primary purpose of this paper is to generalize their results to arbitrary Coxeter quandles. We will show that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ is an intermediate group between W and the Artin group A_W associated with W (Proposition 3.3), and will show that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ fits into a central extension of the form

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)} \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \xrightarrow{\phi} W \to 1, \tag{1.1}$$

where $c(W)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of elements in X_W (Theorem 3.1). As is known, the central extension (1.1) corresponds to the cohomology class $u_\phi \in H^2(W, \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)})$. We will construct 2-cocycles of W representing u_ϕ (Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.7).

Finally, Eisermann [9] claimed that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_{\mathfrak{S}_n})$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $A_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ where A_n is the alternating group on n letters, but he did not write down the proof. We will generalize his result to Coxeter quandles (Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2).

Notation. Let G be a group, $g, h \in G$ elements of G and $m \geq 2$ a natural number. Define

$$(gh)_m := \underbrace{ghg\cdots}_{m} \in G.$$

For example, $(gh)_2 = gh, (gh)_3 = ghg, (gh)_4 = ghgh$. Let $G_{\mathrm{Ab}} := G/[G,G]$ be the abelianization of G, $\mathrm{Ab}_G : G \to G_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ the natural projection, and write $[g] := g[G,G] \in G_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ for $g \in G$. For a quandle X and elements $x_k \in X$ $(k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$, we denote

$$x_1 * x_2 * x_3 * \cdots * x_\ell := (\cdots((x_1 * x_2) * x_3) * \cdots) * x_\ell,$$

for simplicity.

2. Coxeter groups and Coxeter quandles

2.1. **Coxeter groups.** Let S be a finite set and $m : S \times S \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ a map satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $m(s,s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$
(2) $2 \leq m(s,t) = m(t,s) \leq \infty$ for all distinct $s, t \in S$.

The map m is represented by the *Coxeter graph* Γ whose vertex set is S and whose edges are the unordered pairs $\{s,t\} \subset S$ such that $m(s,t) \geq 3$. The edges with $m(s,t) \geq 4$ are labeled by the number $m(s,t)$. The *Coxeter system* associated with Γ is the pair (W,S) where W is the group generated by $s \in S$ and the fundamental relations $(st)^{m(s,t)} = 1$ $(s,t \in S, m(s,t) < \infty)$:

$$W := \langle s \in S \mid (st)^{m(s,t)} = 1 (s,t \in S, m(s,t) < \infty) \rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

The group W is called the *Coxeter group* (of type Γ), and elements of S are called *Coxeter generators* of W (also called simple reflections in the literature). Note that the order of the product st is precisely $m(s,t)$. In particular, every Coxeter generator $s \in S$ has order 2. It is easy to check that the defining relations in (2.1) are equivalent to the following relations

$$s^2 = 1 \ (s \in S),\ (st)_{m(s,t)} = (ts)_{m(s,t)} \ (s,t \in S, s \neq t, m(s,t) < \infty). \tag{2.2}$$

Finally, the *odd subgraph* Γ_{odd} is a subgraph of Γ whose vertex set is S and whose edges are the unordered pairs $\{s,t\} \subset S$ such that $m(s,t)$ is an odd integer. We refer Bourbaki [3] and Humphreys [12] for further details of Coxeter groups.

2.2. **Conjugacy classes of reflections.** Let

$$X_W := \bigcup_{w \in W} w^{-1} S w$$

be the set of reflections in W as in the introduction (the underlying set of the Coxeter quandle). Let $\mathscr{O}_W$ be the set of conjugacy classes of elements of X_W under W, and $\mathscr{R}_W$ a complete set of representatives of conjugacy classes. We may assume $\mathscr{R}_W \subseteq S$. Let $c(W)$ be the cardinality of $\mathscr{O}_W$. The following facts are well-known and easy to prove.

Proposition 2.1. *The elements of $\mathscr{O}_W$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the connected components of Γ_{odd}. Consequently, $c(W)$ equals to the number of connected components of Γ_{odd}.*

To be precise, the conjugacy class of $s \in S$ corresponds to the connected component of Γ_{odd} containing $s \in S$. The proof of Proposition 2.1 can be found in [4, Lemma 3.6].

Proposition 2.2. *W_{Ab} is the elementary abelian 2-group with a basis $\{[s] \in W_{\mathrm{Ab}} \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$. In particular, $W_{\mathrm{Ab}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{c(W)}$.*

2.3. **Coxeter quandles.** Now we turn our attention to Coxeter quandles. Let X_W be the Coxeter quandle associated with (W,S) and

$$\mathrm{Ad}(X_W) := \langle e_x \ (x \in X_W) \mid e_y^{-1} e_x e_y = e_{x*y} \ (x,y \in X_W) \rangle$$

the adjoint group of X_W. Observe that

$$e_y^{-1} e_x e_y = e_{x*y} = e_{y^{-1}xy} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$e_y e_x e_y^{-1} = e_{x*y}, \tag{2.4}$$

where (2.4) follows from $e_y^{-1} e_{x*y} e_y = e_{x*y*y} = e_x$.

Proposition 2.3. *$\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ is generated by e_s $(s \in S)$.*

Proof. Given $x \in X_W$, we can express x as $x = (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_\ell)^{-1} s_0 (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_\ell)$ for some $s_i \in S$ $(0 \leq i \leq \ell)$ by the definition of X_W. Applying (2.3), we have

$$e_x = e_{(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_\ell)^{-1} s_0 (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_\ell)} = e_{s_0 * s_1 * s_2 * \cdots * s_\ell} = (e_{s_1} e_{s_2} \cdots e_{s_\ell})^{-1} e_{s_0} (e_{s_1} e_{s_2} \cdots e_{s_\ell}),$$

proving the proposition. □

Proposition 2.4. $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ *is the free abelian group with a basis* $\{[e_s] \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$. *In particular,* $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)}$.

Proof. By the definition of $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$, the abelianization $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ is generated by $[e_x]$ $(x \in X_W)$ subject to the relations $[e_x] = [e_{y^{-1}xy}]$, $[e_x][e_y] = [e_y][e_x]$ $(x,y \in X_W)$. Consequently, $[e_x] = [e_y] \in \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ if and only if $x,y \in X_W$ are conjugate in W. We conclude that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ is the free abelian group with a basis $\{[e_s] \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$. □

Let $\phi : \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \to W$ be a surjective homomorphism defined by $e_x \mapsto x$, which is well-defined by virtue of (2.3), and let $C_W := \ker \phi$ be its kernel.

Lemma 2.5. C_W *is a central subgroup of* $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$.

Proof. Given $g \in C_W$, it suffices to prove $g^{-1}e_x g = e_x$ for all $x \in X_W$. To do so, set $g = e_{y_1}^{\varepsilon_1} e_{y_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots e_{y_\ell}^{\varepsilon_\ell}$ $(\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\})$. Applying (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$g^{-1}e_x g = (e_{y_1}^{\varepsilon_1} e_{y_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots e_{y_\ell}^{\varepsilon_\ell})^{-1} e_x (e_{y_1}^{\varepsilon_1} e_{y_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots e_{y_\ell}^{\varepsilon_\ell}) = e_{x*y_1*y_2*\cdots*y_\ell}.$$

Now $x*y_1*y_2*\cdots*y_\ell = (y_1y_2\cdots y_\ell)^{-1}x(y_1y_2\cdots y_\ell)$, and the proposition follows from $\phi(g) = y_1^{\varepsilon_1}y_2^{\varepsilon_2}\cdots y_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell} = y_1y_2\cdots y_\ell = 1$. □

Lemma 2.6. *If* $x,y \in X_W$ *are conjugate in* W, *then* $e_x^2 = e_y^2 \in C_W$.

Proof. It is obvious that $e_x^2 \in C_W$ for all $x \in X_W$. Since C_W is a central subgroup, $e_x^2 = e_y^{-1}e_x^2 e_y = e_{y^{-1}xy}^2$ holds for all $x,y \in X_W$, which implies the lemma. □

3. Artin groups and the proof of the main result

Now we state the main result of this paper:

Theorem 3.1. C_W *is the free abelian group with a basis* $\{e_s^2 \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$. *In particular,* $C_W \cong \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ fits into a central extension of the form $0 \to \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)} \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \to W \to 1$ as stated in the introduction. We begin with the determination of the rank of C_W.

Proposition 3.2. $\mathrm{rank}(C_W) = c(W)$.

Proof. The central extension $1 \to C_W \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \xrightarrow{\phi} W \to 1$ yields the following exact sequence for the rational homology of groups:

$$H_2(W,\mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(C_W,\mathbb{Q})_W \to H_1(\mathrm{Ad}(X_W),\mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(W,\mathbb{Q})$$

(see Brown [5, Corollary VII.6.4]). Here the co-invariants $H_1(C_W,\mathbb{Q})_W$ coincides with $H_1(C_W,\mathbb{Q})$ because C_W is a central subgroup of $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$. It is known that the rational homology of a Coxeter group is trivial (see Akita [1, Proposition 5.2] or Davis [7, Theorem 15.1.1]). As a result, we have an isomorphism $H_1(C_W,\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_1(\mathrm{Ad}(X_W),\mathbb{Q})$ and hence we have

$$\mathrm{rank}(C_W) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} C_W \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_1(C_W,\mathbb{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_1(\mathrm{Ad}(X_W),\mathbb{Q}) = c(W)$$

as desired. □

Given a Coxeter system (W,S), the *Artin group* A_W associated with (W,S) is the group defined by the presentation

$$A_W := \langle a_s \ (s \in S) \mid (a_s a_t)_{m(s,t)} = (a_t a_s)_{m(s,t)} \ (s,t \in S, s \neq t, m(s,t) < \infty) \rangle.$$

In view of (2.2), there is an obvious surjective homomorphism $\pi : A_W \to W$ defined by $a_s \mapsto s$ $(s \in S)$. The *pure Artin group* P_W associated with (W,S) is defined to be the kernel of π so that there is an extension

$$1 \to P_W \hookrightarrow A_W \xrightarrow{\pi} W \to 1.$$

In case W is the symmetric group on n letters, A_W is the braid group of n strands, and P_W is the pure braid group of n strands. Little is known about the structure of general Artin groups. Among others, the following questions are still open.

(1) Are Artin groups torsion free?
(2) What is the center of Artin groups?
(3) Do Artin groups have solvable word problem?
(4) Are there finite $K(\pi,1)$-complexes for Artin groups?

See survey articles by Paris [15–17] for further details of Artin groups.

Proposition 3.3. *The assignment $a_s \mapsto e_s$ $(s \in S)$ yields a well-defined surjective homomorphism $\psi : A_W \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$.*

Proof. As for the well-definedness, it suffices to show $(e_s e_t)_{m(s,t)} = (e_t e_s)_{m(s,t)}$ for all distinct $s,t \in S$ with $m(s,t) < \infty$. Applying the relation $e_x e_y = e_y e_{x*y}$ $(x,y \in X_W)$ repeatedly as in

$$(e_t e_s)_{m(s,t)} = e_t e_s e_t e_s \cdots = e_s e_{t*s} e_t e_s \cdots = e_s e_t e_{t*s*t} e_s \cdots = \cdots,$$

we obtain

$$(e_t e_s)_{m(s,t)} = (e_s e_t)_{m(s,t)-1} e_x,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x = \overbrace{t*s*t*s*\cdots}^{m(s,t)} &= (st)^{-1}_{m(s,t)-1} t (st)_{m(s,t)-1} \\ &= (st)^{-1}_{m(s,t)-1} (ts)_{m(s,t)} \\ &= (st)^{-1}_{m(s,t)-1} (st)_{m(s,t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the relation $(st)_{m(s,t)} = (ts)_{m(s,t)}$. It follows from the last equality that x is the last letter in $(st)_{m(s,t)}$, i.e. $x = s$ or $x = t$ according as $m(s,t)$ is odd or $m(s,t)$ is even. We conclude that $(e_s e_t)_{m(s,t)-1} e_x = (e_s e_t)_{m(s,t)}$ as desired. Finally, the surjectivity follows from Proposition 2.3. □

As a result, the adjoint group $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ is an intermediate group between a Coxeter group W and the corresponding Artin group A_W, in the sense that the surjection $\pi : A_W \twoheadrightarrow W$ splits into a sequence of surjections $A_W \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \twoheadrightarrow W$.

Proposition 3.4. *P_W is the normal closure of $\{a_s^2 \mid s \in S\}$ in A_W. In other words, P_W is generated by $g^{-1} a_s^2 g$ $(s \in S, g \in A_W)$.*

Proof. Given a Coxeter system (W,S), let $F(S)$ be the free group on S and put

$$R := \{(st)_{m(s,t)}(ts)^{-1}_{m(s,t)} \mid s,t \in S, s \neq t, m(s,t) < \infty\},\ Q := \{s^2 \mid s \in S\}.$$

Let $N(R), N(Q)$ be the normal closure of R, Q in $F(S)$, respectively. The third isomorphism theorem yields a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to \frac{N(R)N(Q)}{N(R)} \to \frac{F(S)}{N(R)} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{F(S)}{N(R)N(Q)} \to 1.$$

Observe that the left term $N(R)N(Q)/N(R)$ is nothing but the normal closure of Q in $F(S)/N(R)$. Now $F(S)/N(R)N(Q) = F(S)/N(R \cup Q) = W$ by the definition of W and $F(S)/N(R)$ is identified with A_W via $s \mapsto a_s$ $(s \in S)$. Under this identification, the map p is the canonical surjection $\pi : A_W \twoheadrightarrow W$ and hence the left term $N(R)N(Q)/N(R)$ coincides with P_W. The proposition follows. □

Remark 3.5. Digne-Gomi [8, Corollary 6] obtained a presentation of P_W by using Reidemeister-Schreier method. Their presentation is infinite whenever W is infinite. Proposition 3.4 can be read off from their presentation.

Now we prove Theorem 3.1. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & P_W & \hookrightarrow & A_W & \xrightarrow{\pi} & W & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \| & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C_W & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & W & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

whose rows are exact. Since $\psi : A_W \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ is surjetive, one can check that its restriction $\psi : P_W \to C_W$ is also surjective. Since P_W is generated by $g^{-1}a_s^2 g$ $(s \in S, g \in A_W)$ by Proposition 3.4, C_W is generated by

$$\psi(g^{-1}a_s^2 g) = \psi(g)^{-1} e_s^2 \psi(g) = e_s^2,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that C_W is central by Lemma 2.5. Combining with Lemma 2.6, we see that C_W is generated by $\{e_s^2 \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$. Now $\mathrm{rank}(C_W) = c(W)$ by Proposition 3.2 and $|\mathscr{R}_W| = c(W)$ by the defintion of $\mathscr{R}_W$, C_W must be a free abelian group of rank $c(W)$ and $\{e_s^2 \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$ must be a basis of C_W.

4. Construction of 2-cocycles

Throughout this section, we assume that the reader is familiar with group cohomology and Coxeter groups. The central extension

$$1 \to C_W \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \xrightarrow{\phi} W \to 1$$

corresponds to the cohomology class $u_\phi \in H^2(W, C_W)$ (see Brown [5, §IV.3] for precise). In this section, we will construct 2-cocycles representing u_ϕ. Before doing so, we claim $u_\phi \neq 0$. For if $u_\phi = 0$ then $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \cong C_W \times W$. But this is not the case because $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)}$ by Proposition 2.4 while $(C_W \times W)_{\mathrm{Ab}} \cong C_W \times W_{\mathrm{Ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{c(W)}$ by Proposition 2.2. Now we invoke the celebrated Matsumoto's theorem:

Theorem 4.1 (Matsumoto [13])**.** *Let (W,S) be a Coxeter system, M a monoid and $f : S \to M$ a map such that $(f(s)f(t))_{m(s,t)} = (f(t)f(s))_{m(s,t)}$ for all $s,t \in S$, $s \neq t$, $m(s,t) < \infty$. Then there exists a unique map $F : W \to M$ such that $F(w) = f(s_1)\cdots f(s_k)$ whenever $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ $(s_i \in S)$ is a reduced expression.*

The proof also can be found in [11, Theorem 1.2.2]. Define a map $f : S \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ by $s \mapsto e_s$, then f satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.1 as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, and hence there exists a unique map $F : W \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ such that $F(w) = f(s_1)\cdots f(s_k) = e_{s_1}\cdots e_{s_k}$ whenever $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ $(s_i \in S)$ is a reduced expression. It is clear that $F : W \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)$ is a set-theoretical section of $\phi : \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \to W$. Define $c : W \times W \to C_W$ by $c(w_1,w_2) = F(w_1)F(w_2)F(w_1w_2)^{-1}$. The standard argument in group cohomology (see Brown [5, §IV.3]) implies the following result:

Proposition 4.2. *c is a normalized 2-cocycle and $[c] = u_\phi \in H^2(W,C_W)$.*

Remark 4.3. In case $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the symmetric group of n letters, Proposition 4.2 was stated in [2, Remark 3.3].

Now we deal with the case $c(W) = 1$ more closely. All finite irreducible Coxeter groups of type other than B_n $(n \geq 2)$, F_4, $I_2(m)$ (m even) satisfy $c(W) = 1$. Among affine irreducible Coxeter groups, those of type $\widetilde{A}_n$ $(n \geq 2)$, $\widetilde{D}_n$ $(n \geq 4)$, $\widetilde{E}_6$, $\widetilde{E}_7$ and $\widetilde{E}_8$ fulfill $c(W) = 1$. For simplifying the notation, we will identify C_W with $\mathbb{Z}$ by $e_s^2 \mapsto 1$ and denote our central extension by

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \xrightarrow{\phi} W \to 1.$$

Proposition 4.4. *If $c(W) = 1$ then $H^2(W,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

Proof. A short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times \to 1$ of abelian groups, where $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is defined by $z \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$, induces the exact sequence

$$H^1(W,\mathbb{C}) \to H^1(W,\mathbb{C}^\times) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(W,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(W,\mathbb{C})$$

(see Brown [5, Proposition III.6.1]). It is known that $H^k(W,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $k > 0$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.2), which implies that the connecting homomorphism $\delta : H^1(W,\mathbb{C}^\times) \to H^2(W,\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. We claim that $H^1(W,\mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}(W,\mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Indeed, W is generated by S consisting of elements of order 2, and all elements of S are mutually conjugate by the assumption $c(W) = 1$. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}(W,\mathbb{C}^\times)$ consists of the trivial homomorphism and the homomorphism ρ defined by $\rho(s) = -1$ $(s \in S)$. □

Corollary 4.5. *If $c(W) = 1$ then $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \xrightarrow{\phi} W \to 1$ is the unique non-trivial central extension of W by $\mathbb{Z}$.*

In general, given a homomorphism of groups $f : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, the cohomology class $\delta f \in H^2(G,\mathbb{Z})$, where $\delta : \mathrm{Hom}(G,\mathbb{C}^\times) \to H^2(G,\mathbb{Z})$ is the connecting homomorphism as above, can be described as follows. For each $g \in G$, choose a branch of $\log(f(g))$. We argue $\log(f(1)) = 0$. Define $\tau_f : G \times G \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\tau_f(g,h) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\{\log(f(g)) + \log(f(h)) - \log(f(gh))\} \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.1}$$

By a diagram chase, one can prove the following:

Proposition 4.6. *τ_f is a normalized 2-cocycle and $[\tau_f] = \delta f \in H^2(G,\mathbb{Z})$.*

Assuming $c(W) = 1$, let $\rho : W \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the homomorphism defined by $\rho(s) = -1$ $(s \in S)$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.4. Note that $\rho(w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$ $(w \in W)$ where $\ell(w)$ is the *length* of w (see Humphreys [12, §5.2]). For each $w \in W$, choose a branch of $\log(\rho(w))$ as

$$\log(\rho(w)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell(w) \text{ is even,} \\ \pi\sqrt{-1} & \text{if } \ell(w) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Applying (4.1), the corresponding map $\tau_\rho : W \times W \to \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$\tau_\rho(w_1,w_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell(w_1) \text{ and } \ell(w_2) \text{ are odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Now Corollary 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 imply the following theorem:

Theorem 4.7. *If $c(W) = 1$ then $\tau_\rho : W \times W \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by (4.2) is a normalized 2-cocycle and $[\tau_\rho] = u_\phi \in H^2(W,\mathbb{Z})$.*

5. Commutator subgroups of adjoint groups

As was stated in the introduction, Eisermann [9, Example 1.18] claimed that $\mathrm{Ad}(X_{\Xi_n})$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $A_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ where A_n is the alternating group on n letters. We will generalize his result to Coxeter quandles by showing the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *$\phi : \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \to W$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\phi : [\mathrm{Ad}(X_W), \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [W,W].$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & C_W & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathrm{Ab}_{\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)}} & \ker\phi_{\mathrm{Ab}} & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & [\mathrm{Ad}(X_W),\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)] & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ab}_{\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)}} & \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{\mathrm{Ab}}} & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & [W,W] & \hookrightarrow & W & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ab}_W} & W_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 1 & & 1 & &
\end{array}$$

From Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.4, we see that $\ker\phi_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ is the free abelian group with a basis $\{[e_s^2] \mid s \in \mathscr{R}_W\}$, which implies that $\mathrm{Ab}_{\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)} : C_W \to \ker\phi_{\mathrm{Ab}}$

is an isomorphism because it assigns $[e_s^2]$ to e_s^2 $(s \in \mathscr{R}_W)$. Since ϕ is surjective, it is obvious that $\phi([\mathrm{Ad}(X_W), \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)]) = [W,W]$. We will show that $\phi : [\mathrm{Ad}(X_W), \mathrm{Ad}(X_W)] \to [W,W]$ is injective. To do so, let $g \in [\mathrm{Ad}(X), \mathrm{Ad}(X)]$ be an element with $g \in \ker\phi = C_W$. Then $[g] := \mathrm{Ab}_{\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)}(g) = 1$ by the exactness of the middle row. But it implies $g = 1$ because $\mathrm{Ab}_{\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)} : C_W \to \ker\phi_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 5.2. *There is a group extension of the form*

$$1 \to [W,W] \to \mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ab}_{\mathrm{Ad}(X_W)}} \mathbb{Z}^{c(W)} \to 1.$$

If $c(W) = 1$ *then the extension splits and* $\mathrm{Ad}(X_W) \cong [W,W] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $c(\mathfrak{S}_n) = 1$ and $[\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathfrak{S}_n] = A_n$, we recover the claim by Eisermann mentioned above.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Daisuke Kishimoto and Takefumi Nosaka for valuable comments and discussions with the author. He also thanks Ye Liu for informing the paper Digne-Gomi [8] and careful reading of earlier drafts of this paper. The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26400077.

REFERENCES

[1] Toshiyuki Akita, *Euler characteristics of Coxeter groups, PL-triangulations of closed manifolds, and cohomology of subgroups of Artin groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 3, 721–736, DOI 10.1112/S0024610700008693. MR1766100 (2001f:20080)

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch, F. Fantino, G. A. García, and L. Vendramin, *On Nichols algebras associated to simple racks*, Groups, algebras and applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 537, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 31–56, DOI 10.1090/conm/537/10565. MR2799090 (2012g:16065)

[3] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Masson, Paris, 1981 (French). Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6. [Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4, 5 and 6]. MR647314 (83g:17001)

[4] Noel Brady, Jonathan P. McCammond, Bernhard Mühlherr, and Walter D. Neumann, *Rigidity of Coxeter groups and Artin groups*, Geom. Dedicata **94** (2002), 91–109, DOI 10.1023/A:1020948811381. MR1950875

[5] Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. MR672956 (83k:20002)

[6] F.J.-B.J. Clauwens, *The adjoint group of an Alexander quandle* (2011), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1587`.

[7] Michael W. Davis, *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008. MR2360474 (2008k:20091)

[8] F. Digne and Y. Gomi, *Presentation of pure braid groups*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **10** (2001), no. 4, 609–623, DOI 10.1142/S0218216501001037. MR1831679

[9] Michael Eisermann, *Quandle coverings and their Galois correspondence*, Fund. Math. **225** (2014), no. 1, 103–168, DOI 10.4064/fm225-1-7. MR3205568

[10] Agustín García Iglesias and Leandro Vendramin, *An explicit description of the second cohomology group of a quandle* (2015), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.01262`.

[11] Meinolf Geck and Götz Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 21, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR1778802

[12] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR1066460 (92h:20002)

[13] Hideya Matsumoto, *Générateurs et relations des groupes de Weyl généralisés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **258** (1964), 3419–3422 (French). MR0183818

[14] Takefumi Nosaka, *Central extensions of groups and adjoint groups of quandles* (2015), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.03077`.

[15] Luis Paris, *Braid groups and Artin groups*, Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. II, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 13, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009, pp. 389–451, DOI 10.4171/055-1/12. MR2497781

[16] ———, *$K(\pi,1)$ conjecture for Artin groups*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **23** (2014), no. 2, 361–415, DOI 10.5802/afst.1411 (English, with English and French summaries). MR3205598

[17] ———, *Lectures on Artin groups and the $K(\pi,1)$ conjecture*, Groups of exceptional type, Coxeter groups and related geometries, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 82, Springer, New Delhi, 2014, pp. 239–257, DOI 10.1007/978-81-322-1814-2_13. MR3207280

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO, 060-0810 JAPAN
E-mail address: `akita@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`